

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Inited States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIODER FOR PATENTS P.O. Ap. 1450 Alexantria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.ustoic.gov

				~		
APPLICATION NO.	FILI	NG DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/721,806	11/24/2000		Richard Hans Harvey	063170.6600	3613	
5073	7590	08/28/2006		EXAMINER		
BAKER BO 2001 ROSS A		Р.	PANNALA, SATHYANARAYA R			
SUITE 600	IVENUE			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
DALLAS, T	X 75201-	2980	2164			

DATE MAILED: 08/28/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Interview Summary	09/721,806	HARVEY, RICHARD HANS	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Sathyanarayan Pannala	2164	
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):		
(1) <u>Sathyanarayan Pannala</u> .	(3)		
(2) Justin N. Stewart.	(4)		
Date of Interview: 22 August 2006.			
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant	2) applicant's representativ	re]	
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.		
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1-5</u> .		•	
Identification of prior art discussed: Corn et al. (US Patent Krishna (US Patent 5,412,804).	6,356,892), Lohman et al. (U	S Patent 6,112,198) and	
Agreement with respect to the claims f)☐ was reached.	g)□ was not reached. h)⊠	N/A.	
Substance of Interview including description of the general reached, or any other comments: <u>Applicant explained bries</u> reference to prior art on record used for rejecting claims. A amended claims in response to the Office Action relating to specific to the invention.	fly the current invention and d Applicant stated that he will su	iscussed claims 1-2 with bmit the amendment with	
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendallowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.	copy of the amendments that		
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE A INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERQUIREMENTS on reverse side or on attached sheet.	e last Office action has alread OF ONE MONTH OR THIRT FERVIEW SUMMARY FORM,	y been filed, APPLICANT IS Y DAYS FROM THIS WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO	
		•	
		•	
		•	
•		•	
	CAME	nnala	
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an	DHY		

Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required

Summary of Record of Interview Requirements

Manual of Patent Examining Procedure (MPEP), Section 713.04, Substance of Interview Must be Made of Record

A complete written statement as to the substance of any face-to-face, video conference, or telephone interview with regard to an application must be made of record in the application whether or not an agreement with the examiner was reached at the interview.

Title 37 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) § 1.133 Interviews

Paragraph (b)
In every instance where reconsideration is requested in view of an interview with an examiner, a complete written statement of the reasons presented at the interview as warranting favorable action must be filed by the applicant. An interview does not remove the necessity for reply to Office action as specified in §§ 1.111, 1.135. (35 U.S.C. 132)

37 CFR §1.2 Business to be transacted in writing.

All business with the Patent or Trademark Office should be transacted in writing. The personal attendance of applicants or their attorneys or agents at the Patent and Trademark Office is unnecessary. The action of the Patent and Trademark Office will be based exclusively on the written record in the Office. No attention will be paid to any alleged oral promise, stipulation, or understanding in relation to which there is disagreement or doubt.

The action of the Patent and Trademark Office cannot be based exclusively on the written record in the Office if that record is itself incomplete through the failure to record the substance of interviews.

It is the responsibility of the applicant or the attorney or agent to make the substance of an interview of record in the application file, unless the examiner indicates he or she will do so. It is the examiner's responsibility to see that such a record is made and to correct material inaccuracies which bear directly on the question of patentability.

Examiners must complete an Interview Summary Form for each interview held where a matter of substance has been discussed during the interview by checking the appropriate boxes and filling in the blanks. Discussions regarding only procedural matters, directed solely to restriction requirements for which interview recordation is otherwise provided for in Section 812.01 of the Manual of Patent Examining Procedure, or pointing out typographical errors or unreadable script in Office actions or the like, are excluded from the interview recordation procedures below. Where the substance of an interview is completely recorded in an Examiners Amendment, no separate Interview Summary Record is required.

The Interview Summary Form shall be given an appropriate Paper No., placed in the right hand portion of the file, and listed on the "Contents" section of the file wrapper. In a personal interview, a duplicate of the Form is given to the applicant (or attorney or agent) at the conclusion of the interview. In the case of a telephone or video-conference interview, the copy is mailed to the applicant's correspondence address either with or prior to the next official communication. If additional correspondence from the examiner is not likely before an allowance or if other circumstances dictate, the Form should be mailed promptly after the interview rather than with the next official communication.

The Form provides for recordation of the following information:

- Application Number (Series Code and Serial Number)
- Name of applicant
- Name of examiner
- Date of interview
- Type of interview (telephonic, video-conference, or personal)
- Name of participant(s) (applicant, attorney or agent, examiner, other PTO personnel, etc.)
- An indication whether or not an exhibit was shown or a demonstration conducted
- An identification of the specific prior art discussed
- An indication whether an agreement was reached and if so, a description of the general nature of the agreement (may be by attachment of a copy of amendments or claims agreed as being allowable). Note: Agreement as to allowability is tentative and does not restrict further action by the examiner to the contrary.
- The signature of the examiner who conducted the interview (if Form is not an attachment to a signed Office action)

It is desirable that the examiner orally remind the applicant of his or her obligation to record the substance of the interview of each case. It should be noted, however, that the Interview Summary Form will not normally be considered a complete and proper recordation of the interview unless it includes, or is supplemented by the applicant or the examiner to include, all of the applicable items required below concerning the substance of the interview.

A complete and proper recordation of the substance of any interview should include at least the following applicable items:

- 1) A brief description of the nature of any exhibit shown or any demonstration conducted,
- 2) an identification of the claims discussed,
- 3) an identification of the specific prior art discussed,
- 4) an identification of the principal proposed amendments of a substantive nature discussed, unless these are already described on the Interview Summary Form completed by the Examiner,
- 5) a brief identification of the general thrust of the principal arguments presented to the examiner,
 - (The identification of arguments need not be lengthy or elaborate. A verbatim or highly detailed description of the arguments is not required. The identification of the arguments is sufficient if the general nature or thrust of the principal arguments made to the examiner can be understood in the context of the application file. Of course, the applicant may desire to emphasize and fully describe those arguments which he or she feels were or might be persuasive to the examiner.)
- 6) a general indication of any other pertinent matters discussed, and
- 7) if appropriate, the general results or outcome of the interview unless already described in the Interview Summary Form completed by the examiner.

Examiners are expected to carefully review the applicant's record of the substance of an interview. If the record is not complete and accurate, the examiner will give the applicant an extendable one month time period to correct the record.

Examiner to Check for Accuracy

If the claims are allowable for other reasons of record, the examiner should send a letter setting forth the examiner's version of the statement attributed to him or her. If the record is complete and accurate, the examiner should place the indication, "Interview Record OK" on the paper recording the substance of the interview along with the date and the examiner's initials.

AUSTIN

BAKER BOTTS LLP Fax receipt will not be confirmed by phone unless requested. 2001 ROSS AVENUE DALLAS, TEXAS 75201-2980

TEL +1 214.953.6500 FAX +1 214,953.0503 www.bakerboits.com

DALLAS DUBAI HONG KONG HOUSTON LONDON MOSCOW NEW YORK RIYADH

WASHINGTON

Justin N. Stewart FROM August 17, 2006 DATE

VOICE NO. (214) 953-6755 (214) 661-4755 FAX NO. RETURN TO Christine Fortier 12S

PAGES

Examiner Sathyanarava R. Pannala U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

(571) 273-4115 FAX NO. VOICE NO. (571) 272-4115

MESSAGE

Re:

TO

U.S. Patent Appln. Serial No. 09/721,806

Inventor: Richard H. Harvey Filing Date: November 24, 2000

Art Unit: 2164

Our File No. 063170.6600

Attached is Applicant's Initiated Interview Request Form.

Thank you.

Notice of Confidentiality

The information contained in and transmitted with this facsimile is: 1. Subject to the Attorney-client Privilego; 2. Attorney Work Product; or 3. Confidential. It is intended only for the individual or entity designated above. You are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution, capying, or use of ar reliance upon the information contained in and transmitted with this facsimile by or to anyone other than the recipient designated above by the sender is unauthorized and strictly prohibited. If you have received this facsimile in error, please notify Baker Batts L.L.P. by telephone at 214.953.6740 immediately. Any facsimile erroneously transmitted to you should be immediately returned to the sender by U.S. Mail or, if authorization is granted by the sender, destroyed.

EXAMINATION OF APPLICATIONS

713.01

**>

PTO-L413A (09-04)
Approved for use through 07/31/2006. OMB 0851-0031
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office: U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Applicant Initiated Interview Request Form											
Application No.: 09/721,806 First Named Applicant: Richard H. Harvey Examiner: Sathyanaraya R. Pannala Art Unit:2164 Status of Application: Pending											
Tentative Participants: (1) Justin Stewart (2) Samir Bhavsar											
(3) Sathyanaraya R. Pannala (4)											
Proposed Date of Interview: Tuesday, August 22, 2006 Proposed Time: 2:00 (EST) (AM/PM)											
Type of Interview Requested: (1) [X Telephonic (2) Personal (3) [] Video Conference											
Exhibit To Be Shown If yes, provide brief-do		[] YES	[X]NO								
Issues To Be Discussed											
Issues (Rej., Obj., etc)	Claims/ Fig. #s	Prior Art Lohman, Com, &	Discussed	Agreed	Not Agreed						
(1) 103 Rejection	1-5	Krishna Krishna	1.1	[]	[]						
(2)	·		[]	[]	[]						
(3)			[]	[]	[]						
(4)	Attached		[]	[]	[]						
Brief Description of Arguments to be Presented: [1] The Corn-Lohman combination is improper because the proposed combination would render Corn unsatisfactory for its intended purpose. [2] The proposed combination fails to teach, suggest, or disclose "expanding each term to remove NOT operators" as recited in Claim 2. An interview was conducted on the above-identified application on.											
NOTE: This form should be completed by applicant and submitted to the examiner in advance of the Interview (see MPEP § 713.01). This application will not be delayed from issue because of applicant's failure to submit a written record of this Interview. Therefore, applicant is advises to fill a statement of the substance of this interview (37 CFR 1.133(b)) as soon as possible. Applicant Applicant's Representative Signature Examiner/SPE Signature											
Reg. No. 56,449 Registration Number, if applicable											

The collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.133. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.11 and 1.14. This collection is estimated to take 21 minutes to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing the burden, should be sent to the Chief information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.